;	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/751,792	WENDORF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David R. Vincent	3628
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Right of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in other appropriate commendation is GHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunlcation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 4/26/05.		
2. X The allowed claim(s) Is/are 1.2.4.6-13.17.19.20.22.24-31.3	5,37,38,40,42-49,53, <u>55,56</u>	.58.60-67 and 71.
3. A The drawings filed on 29 December 2000 are accepted by	the Examiner.	·
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unall a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	been received. been received in Applications in the contraction of the	on No ed in this national stage application from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit	ENT of this application.	
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	s reason(s) why the oath o	r declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as 'replacement sheets') must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date (dentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be tabeled as such in the	on's Patent Drawing Revie Amendment / Comment o 34(c)) should be written on t e header according to 37 Cl	r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	IT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT OR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the OLOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. X Interview S	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date		Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	3. <u> </u>	_ - •

Application/Control Number: 09/751,792

Art Unit: 3628

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with James Henry on 5/26/05.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 58, line 1, after "claim", "54" has been changed to "55".

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

2. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-2,4,6-13,17,19-20,22,24-31,35,37-38,40,42-49,53,55-56,58,60-67,71 are considered allowable since when reading the claims in light of the specification, as per MPEP § 2111.01 or In re Sneed, 710 F.2d 1544, 1548, 218 USPQ 385, 388 (Fed. Cir. 1983), none of the references of record alone or in combination disclose or suggest the combination of limitations specified in the independent claims.

The Examiner was persuaded by the arguments filed.

Reasons for allowance . If the examiner believes that the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her

Application/Control Number: 09/751,792

Art Unit: 3628

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571 272 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER Careful 5/34/05.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,792

Art Unit: 3628

reasons for allowing a claim or claims, the examiner may set forth such reasoning. The reasons shall be incorporated into an Office action rejecting other claims of the application or patent under reexamination or be the subject of a separate communication to the applicant or patent owner. The applicant or patent owner may file a statement commenting on the reasons for allowance within such time as may be specified by the examiner. Failure by the examiner to respond to any statement commenting on reasons for allowance does not give rise to any implication.

37 CFR 1.104(e)

In most cases, the examiner's actions and the applicant's replies make evident the reasons for allowance, satisfying the "record as a whole" proviso of the rule. This is particularly true when applicant fully complies with 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c) and 37 CFR 1.133(b). Thus, where the examiner's actions clearly point out the reasons for rejection and the applicant's reply explicitly presents reasons why claims are patentable over the reference, the reasons for allowance are in all probability evident from the record and no statement should be necessary. Conversely, where the record is not explicit as to reasons, but allowance is in order, then a logical extension of 37 CFR 1.111 and 1.133 would dictate that the examiner should make reasons of record and such reasons should be specific.